

Feinstein School of Education and Human Development

Teacher Candidate Mini Work Sample

Rubric Scores

Candidate [REDACTED] EMPID 0 3 9 2 9 8 6
 Program: *History Sec. Ed.*
 College Supervisor: *[Signature]* Date 1 Dec 09

Total of Rubric Scores for Tasks:

I. Learning Goals and Unit Objectives								Total	34/36
II. Assessment Plan								Total	38/42
III. Design for Instruction								Total	34/36
Total									106/114

92

467-8489	Vida Sana	Yolanda Ten
785-1056, 282-9482		Yohanny
743-0167, 274-1925		Victoria Nunez

Learning Goals and Unit Objectives Rubric

Teaching Process: The candidate sets significant, challenging, varied and appropriate learning goals and unit objectives.

Rating → Indicator ↓	1-2 Unacceptable	3-4 Acceptable	5-6 Target	SCORE
Part I:				
Learning Goals (RIBTS 2)	Learning goals do not reflect the big ideas and outcomes of the unit. They are less than significant, challenging, varied and appropriate.	Learning goals reflect the big ideas and outcomes of the unit. They are somewhat significant, challenging, varied and appropriate.	Learning goals reflect the big ideas and outcomes of the unit. They are significant, challenging, varied and appropriate	6
Part II:				
Alignment with National, State or Local Standards (RIBTS 2)	Unit objectives are not aligned with national, state or local standards.	Some unit objectives are aligned with national, state or local standards.	Most of the unit objectives are explicitly aligned with national, state or local standards.	5
Classification of Unit Objectives (RIBTS 5)	Unit objectives are not significant, challenging, or varied.	Some unit objectives are somewhat significant, challenging, and varied.	All unit objectives are significant, challenging, and varied.	6
Clarity (RIBTS 8)	Unit objectives are not stated clearly and are activities rather than learning outcomes.	Some of the unit objectives are clearly stated as learning outcomes.	Most of the unit objectives are clearly stated as learning outcomes.	5
Appropriateness For Students (RIBTS 3)	Unit objectives are not appropriate for the development, pre-requisite knowledge, skills, experiences, or other student needs. Few unit objectives will move students towards meeting learning goals.	Some unit objectives are appropriate for the development, pre-requisite knowledge, skills, experiences, and other student needs. Some unit objectives will move students towards meeting learning goals.	Most unit objectives are appropriate for the development, pre-requisite knowledge, skills, experiences, and other student needs. Most unit objectives will move students towards meeting learning goals	6
Part III:				
Rationale / Purpose (RIBTS 4)	A superficial statement of rationale is included. The rationale requires more detail to explain why this unit is important to teach to the intended population. Explanation of appropriateness of objectives is superficial or inaccurate.	A statement of rationale is included. The rationale partially explains why this unit is important to teach to the intended population. Explanation of appropriateness of objectives is clear and somewhat accurate.	A clearly written, rich statement of rationale is included. The rationale explains why this unit is important to teach to the intended population. Explanation of appropriateness of objectives is rich, insightful and mostly accurate.	6

TOTAL 34/36

Assessment Plan Rubric

Teaching Process: The candidate uses multiple forms of assessment aligned with unit objectives to assess student learning throughout the unit.

Rating → Indicator ↓	1-2 Unacceptable	3-4 Acceptable	5-6 Target	SCORE
Part I				
Visual Organizer Format (RIBTS 9)	<p>The organizer does not clearly present:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • how the objectives are aligned with the assessments; and/or • the justification for the method of each assessment; and/or • any appropriate adaptations of the assessments. 	<p>The organizer clearly presents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • how <i>some</i> of the objectives are aligned with the assessments; and/or • the justification for the method of some assessments is incomplete or inappropriate; and/or • some assessment adaptations are missing or inappropriate. 	<p>The organizer clearly presents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • how <i>all</i> the objectives are aligned with the assessments; and • the justification for the method of all assessments; and • appropriate adaptations for all assessments within this context with these students 	6
Multiple Forms of Assessment (RIBTS 9)	<p>The assessment plan: includes only one assessment form; does not assess students before, during, or after instruction.</p>	<p>The assessment plan: includes multiple forms of assessment; <i>some</i> are performance-based; and assess before, during, and after instruction.</p>	<p>The assessment plan: includes multiple forms of assessment (including performance assessments, lab reports, research projects, etc.); assesses student performance before and after instruction.</p>	5
Alignment of Unit Objectives and Assessments. (RIBTS 9)	<p><i>None</i> of the objectives: are aligned with the overall assessment plan: <i>none of the</i> assessments are congruent with objectives in terms of content and cognitive complexity.</p>	<p><i>Some</i> of the objectives: are aligned with the overall assessment plan: <i>some</i> assessments are congruent with objectives in terms of content and cognitive complexity.</p>	<p><i>All</i> of the objectives: are aligned with the overall assessment plan; <i>all</i> assessments are congruent with the objectives in terms of content and cognitive complexity.</p>	6

Justification for Assessment Methods (RIBTS 9)	The assessment methods selected do not seem capable of doing the job—one finds oneself asking, “Why did the candidate assess the unit objective that way?”; or, there is no evidence that unit objectives or student characteristics played a part in determining assessment method.	Matching of assessment methods to unit objectives and context seems adequate, but this information has to be inferred or searched for; or, some of the methods might be improved.	The assessment methods match the unit objectives and context; the rationale for the choice mentions the unit objective and/or student characteristics.	5
Adaptations Based on the Individual Needs of Students (RIBTS 4)	Candidate does not adapt assessments at all or adaptations are limited in scope to meet the individual needs of students; these assessments are inappropriate.	Candidate makes adaptations to <i>some</i> assessments that are appropriate to meet the individual needs of <i>some</i> students.	Candidate makes adaptations to <i>all</i> assessments that are appropriate to meet the individual needs of <i>all</i> students.	5
Part II				
Rationale (RIBTS 9)	Provides no statement about the assessments and their appropriateness for measuring learning within this context with these students.	Provides some statement about the assessments and their appropriateness for measuring learning within this context with these students.	Provides clear and accurate statement about the assessments and their appropriateness for measuring learning within this context with these students.	6
Scoring Procedures (RIBTS 9)	Scoring procedures are absent or inaccurate; items or prompts are poorly written; directions or procedures are confusing to students	<i>Some</i> scoring procedures are explained; items or prompts are clearly written; <i>some</i> directions or procedures are clear to students	<i>All</i> scoring procedures are explained; <i>all</i> items or prompts are clearly written; <i>all</i> directions or procedures are clear to students	5

TOTAL 38 /42

Design for Instruction Rubric

Teaching Process: The candidate designs instruction as is required in the particular program in order to meet broad learning goals and specific unit objectives. The design takes into account student characteristics, needs, learning contexts, and standards of the discipline.

Rating → Indicator ↓	1-2 Unacceptable	3-4 Acceptable	5-6 Target	SCORE
Use of Pre-Assessment Data (RIBTS 8)	<p>Pre-assessment data is presented but the format is difficult to navigate.</p> <p>A clear explanation of how pre-assessment data influenced instructional design is lacking.</p>	<p>Pre-assessment data is presented in an organized format.</p> <p>A clear explanation of how pre-assessment data influenced instructional design is lacking.</p>	<p>Pre-assessment data is presented in an organized, detailed format.</p> <p>A rich, insightful explanation of how pre-assessment data influenced instructional design is provided.</p>	6
Unit Visual Organizer (RIBTS 2)	<p>The visual organizer is difficult to navigate.</p> <p>The lessons within the unit are not logically organized (e.g., sequenced).</p>	<p>An organized visual organizer is provided.</p> <p>Most of the lessons within the unit are logically sequenced.</p> <p>Lessons appear to be somewhat useful in moving students toward achieving the learning goals.</p>	<p>An organized, detailed visual organizer is provided.</p> <p>All lessons within the unit are logically sequenced.</p> <p>Lessons are useful in moving students toward achieving the learning goals.</p>	5
Lesson Plans (RIBTS 2)	<p>Lesson plans are missing required components.</p> <p>Candidate's use of content appears to contain numerous inaccuracies.</p> <p>Content seems to be viewed more as isolated skills and facts rather than as part of a larger conceptual structure.</p> <p>Instruction incorporates little variety of instructional strategies and techniques across instruction, activities, assignments, and resources.</p>	<p>Lesson plans contain required components.</p> <p>Candidate's use of content appears to be mostly accurate.</p> <p>Shows some awareness of the big ideas or structure of the discipline.</p> <p>Instruction incorporates some variety of instructional strategies and techniques across instruction, activities, assignments, or resources.</p> <p>Some reliance on textbook, some variety</p>	<p>Lesson plans contain required components in rich detail.</p> <p>Candidate's use of content appears to be accurate.</p> <p>Focus of the content is congruent with the big ideas or structure of the discipline.</p> <p>Instruction incorporates a significant variety of instructional strategies and techniques across instruction, activities, assignments, and/or resources.</p> <p>The use of a variety of</p>	5

Rating → Indicator ↓	1-2 Unacceptable	3-4 Acceptable	5-6 Target	SCORE
	Heavy reliance on textbook or single resource (e.g., work sheets).	of resources.	resources makes a clear contribution to learning.	
Alignment with Learning Goals and Unit Objectives (RIBTS 2)	Few lessons are explicitly linked to unit objectives. Few learning tasks, assignments and resources are aligned with unit objectives. Not all unit objectives are covered in the design.	Most lessons are explicitly linked to unit objectives. Most learning tasks, assignments and resources are aligned with unit objectives. Most unit objectives are covered in the design.	All lessons are explicitly linked to unit objectives. All learning tasks, assignments and resources are aligned with unit objectives. All unit objectives are covered in the design.	6
Classroom Climate (RIBTS 6)	Candidate does not articulate how s/he will create a supportive learning environment that encourages appropriate standards of behavior, positive social interaction, active engagement in learning, and self-motivation for all students.	Candidate articulates plans in which some aspects contribute to a supportive learning environment that encourages appropriate standards of behavior, positive social interaction, active engagement in learning, and self-motivation for all students.	Candidate consistently articulates plans that are likely to create a supportive learning environment that encourages appropriate standards of behavior, positive social interaction, active engagement in learning, and self-motivation for all students.	6
Use of Technology (RIBTS 2)	Technology is inappropriately used OR candidate does not use technology or provide a rationale for its omission. A description of how planning and/or instruction could be enhanced with the use of technology is absent.	Candidate uses technology appropriately. Technology contributes to teaching and learning. OR Candidate provides a clear rationale for omission of technology AND describes how planning and/or instruction could be enhanced with the use of technology.	Candidate consistently integrates appropriate technology. Use of technology makes a significant contribution to teaching and learning.	6

TOTAL 34/36