IRA Assessment # 4 – Pre-Practicum Case Study

(IRA Standards 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 4.1)

Description of the Assessment:

Candidates must enroll in and complete ELED 685 – Diagnosis of Reading Difficulties before they are permitted to enroll in ELED 686 – Treatment of Reading Difficulties. Both courses are pre-requisites to ELED 629 - Summer Reading Clinic. In the first of these three courses, candidates are introduced to twenty-two different literacy assessments. They are required to shadow a reading specialist for a total of five hours to observe how these assessments play a role in the operation of a literacy program. In addition, as part of this course, they are required to administer these assessments to middle/high school minority students. Another important phase of this course is when they are introduced to case study reports, Individual Educational Plans (IEPs), and Personal Literacy Plans (PLPs). Through the use of actual models of case study reports, they learn the format of these reports and how test data are reported.

The second course in the sequence, ELED 686 – Treatment of Reading Difficulties, is where this fourth assessment is administered. As the name implies, this is the course where candidates learn strategies for dealing with students with reading difficulties. It is also the second course where all candidates are required to tutor a middle/secondary-level, culturally diverse student. One of the major requirements of this course is that students are able to prepare comprehensive case study reports including in-depth recommendations for home and school. These case study reports are based on two different sources: a) data supplied by the professor, and b) data collected from working with the these older students. Learning to prepare these reports is scaffolded, first through whole-class lectures and discussions, then, by working in small groups and collaborating with other teacher/candidates, and finally, by writing a case study report based on the information and data collected from these actual students.

After tutoring these older students, each candidate writes a case study report that is provided to the student and is to be shared with the students' classroom teachers. Specific strategies for improving their reading and study skills are provided. The report is scored with a rubric.

Successful completion of this Pre-Practicum Case Study Assessment is the prerequisite for admission into the next course in the sequence, ELED 629 – Reading Clinic.

Alignment of the Assessment with the 2003 IRA Standards:

Standards 3 and 4 are closely correlated with this assessment. This is the fourth reading course in which our candidates enroll. They now possess a comprehensive knowledge of assessment and remediation techniques. This is their first opportunity to integrate the knowledge gained in their previous courses. Candidates must now assess students and then plan for effective instruction. There is a tutoring field component, working with minority middle/high school students, built into this course, ELED 686 – Treatment of

Reading Difficulties, as well as the previous course, ELED 685 – Diagnosis of Reading Difficulties.

Analysis of the Findings:

The tutoring component at this level has been an integral facet of our program for the past three years. This experience allows candidates to share their diagnostic information with a middle/secondary school student, parents, and teachers. As the data table illustrates, a very high percentage of our candidates meet or exceed the "Acceptable" criteria on this standard.

Data Interpretation:

Since this is the fourth course in the M.Ed. in Reading sequence, we expect most candidates to perform well on this assessment. In the Spring 2007, we included an "Exemplary" category to help us score the assessment. About two-thirds of our candidates reached this level. Also, beginning in the Spring 2008, we began to collect data for Standard 4.1. We have further refined our rubric to now emphasize the importance of serving as a coach. Coaching is done at a Level 1 of intensity.

SECTION IV - Assessment #4 Revised - Fall 2009

Pre-Practicum Case Study Report Scoring Guide

Name	Date

Element	Unacceptable – 1	Acceptable – 2	Exemplary – 3	Score
IRA Standard 3.1	Does not adequately	Adequately	Adequately	
	demonstrate the ability	demonstrates the ability	demonstrates, at an	
Compare and contrast,	to select and administer	to select and administer	advanced, exemplary	
use, interpret and	appropriate formal and	appropriate formal and	level, the ability to select	
recommend a wide	informal assessments	informal assessments	and administer	
range of assessment	(including technology-	(including technology-	appropriate formal and	
tools and practices.	based assessments) and	based assessments) and	informal assessments	
Assessments may range	educate teachers in their	educate teachers in their	(including technology-	
from standardized tests	use.	use.	based assessments) and	
to informal			educate teachers in their	
assessments and also			use.	
include technology-				
based assessments.				
They demonstrate				
appropriate use of				
assessments in their				
practice, and they can				
train classroom				
teachers to administer				
and interpret these				
assessments.				
IRA Standard 3.2	Does not demonstrate	Demonstrates adequate	Demonstrates, at an	

	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1.114	1 1 1	
	adequate ability to	ability to compare,	advanced, exemplary	
Support the classroom	compare, contrast, and	contrast, and analyze	level, the ability to	
teacher in the	analyze assessment	assessment information	compare, contrast, and	
assessment of	information and is	and prescribe	analyze assessment	
individual students.	unable to provide	appropriate services.	information and	
They extend the	support to classroom	The candidate can also	prescribe appropriate	
assessment to further	teachers.	support classroom	services. The candidate	
determine proficiencies		teachers with	demonstrates the ability	
and difficulties for		recommendations and	to offer clear and	
appropriate services.		teaching suggestions.	concise	
			recommendations for	
			classroom teachers.	
IRA Standard 3.3	Does not demonstrate	Demonstrates adequate	Demonstrates exemplary	
	adequate ability to assist	ability to assist	ability to assist	
Assist the classroom	classroom teachers and	classroom teachers and	classroom teachers and	
teacher in using	other professionals in	other professionals in	other professionals in	
assessments to plan	the implementation of	the implementation of	the implementation of	
instruction for all	in-depth assessment	in-depth assessment	in-depth assessment	
students. They use in-	data.	data.	data.	
depth assessment				
information to plan				
individual instruction				
for struggling readers.				
They collaborate with				
other educaitoonal				
professionals to				
implement appropriate				
reading instruction for				
individual students.				
They collect, analyze,				
and use schoolwide				

assessment data to				
implement and revise school reading				
programs.				
IRA Standard 3.4	Does not adequately	Adequately	Demonstrates an	
1101 Standard 3.4	demonstrate ability to	demonstrates ability to	exemplary ability to	
Communicate	communicate	communicate	communicate	
assessment information	assessment data to a	assessment data to a	assessment data to a	
to various audiences	wide audience of	wide audience of	wide audience of	
for both accountability	professionals. The	professionals. The	professionals. The	
and instructional	candidate is unable to	candidate can synthesize	candidate demonstrates	
purposes (policy	synthesize diagnostic	diagnostic data and	and exemplary ability to	
makers, public	data for other	prescribe appropriate	synthesize diagnostic	
officials, community	educational	instructional strategies.	data and offer detailed.	
members, clinical	professionals.	morraetional strategies.	focused instructional	
specialists, school	professionals.		strategies for other	
psychologists, social			professionals and	
workers, classroom			caregivers.	
teachers, and parents.				
IRA Standard 4.1	Does not adequately	Adequately assists	Assists classroom	
	assist classroom teachers	classroom teachers and	teachers and	
Assist the classroom	and paraprofessionals in	paraprofessionals in	paraprofessionals, in an	
teacher and	selecting appropriate	selecting appropriate	exemplary fashion, in	
paraprofessional in	reading level materials	reading level materials	the selecting appropriate	
selecting materials that	keeping in mind the	keeping in mind the	reading level materials	
match the reading	interests, cultural, and	interests, cultural, and	keeping in mind the	
levels, interests, and	linguistic background of	linguistic background of	interests, cultural, and	
cultural and linguistic	the students.	the students.	linguistic background of	
background of			the students.	
students.			Demonstrates an in-	
			depth understanding of	

			cultural and linguistic instructional implications.	
Clear, concise, error- free written work	Unclear writing style that is disorganized and contains numerous errors or conventions	Clear writing style that is organized and contains a limited number of errors or conventions	Exemplary writing style that is organized and contains a limited number of errors or conventions	
			Total Score	

Overall Performance Assessment:	≤5	6-12	13-18
	Unacceptable	Acceptable	Exemplary
Recommendation:	REVISION	PASS	PASS
Faculty member signatu	re(s)		

SECTION IV Assessment #4 Rhode Island College M. Ed. Reading Program Pre-Practicum Case Study Data Chart

IRA Standard	Semester/Year	% Unacceptable	% Acceptable	% Exemplary	Number of
Element					Candidates
Standard 3.1. Use a	Spring 2009	0%	16%	84%	19
wide range of	Spring 2008	0%	0%	100%	29
assessment tools and	Spring 2007	0%	31%	69%	26
practices that range	Spring 2006	0%	100%		22
from individual and	1 0				
group standardized					
tests to individual					
and group informal classroom					
assessment					
strategies, including					
technology-based					
assessment tools.					
Standard 3.2 Place	Spring 2009	0%	21%	79%	19
students along a	Spring 2008	0%	3%	97%	29
developmental	Spring 2007	8%	27%	65%	26
continuum and	Spring 2006	5%	95%		22
identify students'	1 0				
proficiencies and					
difficulties.	g : 2000	00/	260/	7.40/	10
Standard 3.3. Use	Spring 2009	0%	26%	74%	19
assessment	Spring 2008	0%	31%	69%	29
information to plan,					

			1		
evaluate, and revise	Spring 2007	8%	38%	54%	26
effective instruction	Spring 2006	5%	95%		22
that meets the needs	1 0				
of all students					
including those at					
different					
developmental					
stages and those					
from diverse					
cultural and					
linguistic					
backgrounds.					
Standard 3.4.	Spring 2009	0%	26%	74%	19
Communicate	Spring 2008	0%	34%	66%	29
results of	Spring 2007	0%	38%	62%	26
assessments to	Spring 2006	5%	95%	0=70	22
specific individuals,	5pmg 2000	570	7570		
(students, parents,					
caregivers,					
colleagues, adminis-					
trators,					
policymakers, policy					
officials, community,					
etc.).					
Standard 4.1. Use	Spring 2009	5%	16%	80%	19
methods to	Spring 2008	0%	28%	72%	29
effectively revise	(This Standard was				
instructional plans	included to be used				
to motivate all	during the next				
students. They assist	_				
classroom teachers	course offering				
in designing	during the Spring				
programs that will	2008.)				
intrinsically and					

extrinsically motivate students. They demonstrate these techniques and they can articulate the research base that grounds their practice.					
Clear, concise,	Spring 2009	16%	21%	63%	19
error-free written	Spring 2008	0%	28%	72%	29
work	Spring 2007	8%	23%	69%	26
	Spring 2006	13%	87%		22