Assessment IV 8-Additional assessment School Psychology Internship Consortium Site Evaluation

1. Brief Description of Assessment

At the end of a field experience, interns provide feedback on their consortium site, including both site and field supervision through the use of a Likert scale evaluation form It is noted that on the form A-D ratings are used with A= strongly disagree, B=disagree, 3=agree and 4 strongly agree. This form is returned to the school psychology faculty member in order to assess program effectiveness and help maintain a high quality of field experience for all students.

2. Alignment to NASP Standards

This measure is specifically aligned to each NASP standard in Part A. Part A, considers whether the site provided necessary and sufficient experiences to support knowledge and skill consolidation in each NASP domain area (items 1-11 correspond directly to NASP standards 1-11). In addition, Part B, considers how well the field supervisors model skills in various areas and Part C, considers field supervisors' demonstration of NASP professional work characteristics.

Internship Consortium Site Evaluation Item	NASP
	Standard
1. data-based decision-making and accountability.	1
2. collaboration and consultation.	2
3. cognitive and academic skill enhancement through effective	3
instruction	
4. development of adaptive and social skills.	4
5. awareness, understanding, and sensitivity toward student diversity in	5
development and learning	
6. understanding school climate, school functioning,	6
and policy development.	
7. prevention, crisis intervention, and mental health promotion.	7
8. home, school, and community collaboration.	8
9. research and program evaluation.	9
10. ethical, professional, and legal standards.	10
11. information technology relevant to school psychology.	11

3 and 4. Analysis and Interpretation of Data

3. Analysis

Mean ratings on intern site evaluation items 1 through 11 by internship cohort are reported in Table A. The overall aggregated mean rating by interns from 2005-2008 (n =27) on the individual items aligned to NASP domains was 3.58 (out of 4) with a range of mean ratings 3.3 to 3.69. The overall mean rating for interns from 2003-2004 was 3.16, from 2004-2005 was 3.65, from 2006-2007 was 3.8 and from 2007-2008 was 3.7.

•

The mean rating for interns from 2005-2008 aligned with NASP Standard 2.1 was 3.65, Standard 2.2 was 3.61, Standard 2.3 was 3.4, Standard 2.4 was 3.69, Standard 2.5 was 3.63, Standard 2.6 was 3.64, Standard 2.7 was 3.41, Standard 2.8 was 3.56, Standard 2.9 was 3, Standard 2.10 was 3.69 and Standard 2.11 was 3.46.

4. Interpretation

The evaluation data supports overall agreement to strong agreement that the students' internship sites and supervisors supported areas related to the NASP standards. Overall results support satisfaction with the internship experience and its coverage of all 11 NASP standards. Prior to 2007-2008, these results are cautiously interpreted due to incomplete data in the years 2005-2007.

All NASP domains, except Domain 2.9, received ratings of agree to strong agree indicating a high level of agreement that the experience supported ongoing development of knowledge and skills in that domain area. The lowest mean rating was in 2.9 Research and Program Development (mean of 3.0). While this still suggests overall agreement that the site supported activities in this domain, this is an area in which continued attention to opportunities and collaborative supervisor-intern work needs to be continually developed.

Assessment IV 8-Additional assessment School Psychology Internship Consortium Site Evaluation

5a Attachment- Assessment Tool

RHODE ISLAND COLLEGE FEINSTEIN SCHOOL OF EDUCATION AND HUMANDEVELOPMENT

School Psychology Internship Consortium Site Evaluation

We are asking for your help in assessing the quality of your experiences at your internship site. This will help us evaluate the appropriateness of Consortium Site placements and make placement decisions for future interns.

Please complete this and return it in the enclosed addressed and stamped envelope or by email. Results will be kept confidential.					
I had a total of _	field supe	ervisors and	primary supervisor.		
Consortium Site	Using the rating scale below, rate each statement according to your experience. When rating the items, consider the effectiveness of the entire site (i.e., experiences in all schools, and with all site supervisors).				
rating the items, c	onsider the effect	iveness of the ent			
A STRONGLY	B AGREE AGREE	C DISAGREE	D STRONGLY DISAGREE		
			ry and sufficient experiences to domains of school psychological		
1.	data-based dec	ision-making and	l accountability.		
2.	collaboration a	nd consultation.			
3.	cognitive and a through effectiv	cademic skill enh	ancement		
4.	development o	of adaptive and so	cial skills.		
5.	awareness, und	lerstanding, and s	sensitivity toward		

		student diversity	y in developmen	t and learning.		
	6.	chool functioning,				
-	7.	prevention, crisis intervention, and mental health promotion.				
	8.	home, school, ar	nd community co	ollaboration.		
	9.	research and pro	ogram evaluatioi	1.		
	10.	ethical, professi	onal, and legal st	andards.		
	11.	information tecl	hnology relevant	to school psychology.		
	A STRONGLY A	B GREE AGREE	C DISAGREE	D STRONGLY DISAGREE		
B.	Collectively,	my field supervis	sors modeled skil	l in:		
	1.		ection of assessm oblem area unde			
	2.	systematic colle	ction, analysis ar	nd interpretation of data.		
	3.	linking assessm	ent results with i	nterventions.		
	4.	U		ness in terms of positive, parents or families.		
	5.	working collabo	ratively with tea	chers and other school staff.		
	6.		ctive parent cons n the school and	ultation and serving effectively as		
	7.			evaluate human learning		
	8.	developing instr needs.	ructional interve	ntions for diverse students		
	9.	consultation, co social skills.	unseling, and be	havioral strategies that enhance		
	10.	_	nding of learner,	cial/behavioral interventions that developmental, and		

11.	awareness of biological, social, economic and cultural factors that can bias decision-making and instruction.
12.	standards and procedures related to general education and special education.
13.	assisting schools with development of procedures and practices that promote learning, prevent problems, and create a safe school climate.
14.	effective development and implementation of crisis prevention and intervention services.
15.	school programs that promote mental health and physical wellbeing of students.
16.	family systems issues.
17.	working collaboratively with families to support student academic and behavioral success.
18.	community services that complement school-based services for children and their families.
19.	psychometric test standards and applies them when selecting assessment instruments.
20.	application of research and statistical findings when evaluating interventions and programs.
21.	use of a problem-solving model that emphasizes critical thinking in delivery of school psychological services.
22.	delivering services consistent with NASP standards and state-mandated regulations.
23.	recognizing own limitations and biases.
24.	practicing only within area of professional competence.
25.	utilizing information resources and technology to enhance service delivery.
26.	maintaining current through involvement with continuing education experiences.

	A STRONGLY AGREE	B AGREE	C DISAGREE	D STRONGLY D	ISAGREE
C.	My primary field su	upervisor			
_	1 was a	vailable to n	ne for supervisi	on weekly.	
_			od NASP profes ank each one):	sional work c	haracteristics.
	a. b. c.	effective co	diversity ommunication iterpersonal ps		adaptability initiative ethical responsibility
	3. gave:	me feedback	about my profe	essional work	characteristics.
D.	Site administrators (e.g., directors of sp		tion, superinter	ndents, princi	pals)
	1. were	supportive o	of my supervisor	rs' work with	me.
	2. appea	ared to value	e my involveme	nt in their sch	ools.
	3. provi	ded appropr	iate space for n	ne to work wit	th students.
	4. provi	ded necessar	ry resources for	addressing s	tudent needs.
E.	Closing Reflections 1. Please feel fi		y or elaborate a	ny of your rat	ings here.
	2. Would you r Please elabo		this site to a frie	end? YES	NO

Thank you VERY MUCH for your time. It is appreciated.

Assessment IV 8-Additional assessment School Psychology Internship Consortium Site Evaluation

5c. Aggregated Candidate Data

Table A: Internship site evaluation results (items 1-11)

	2003-2004	2004-	2006-2007	2007-2008	Overall
	N=5*	2005*	N=5**	N=13	mean score
		N = 4			
NASP 2.1	3	4	3.8	3.8	3.65
NASP 2.2	3	3.75	3.8	3.9	3.61
NASP 2.3	2.6	3.5	3.8	3.7	3.4
NASP 2.4	3.4	3.75	3.8	3.8	3.69
NASP 2.5	3.5	3.5	3.8	3.7	3.63
NASP 2.6	3.2	3.75	3.8	3.8	3.64
NASP 2.7	3.2	3.125	3.8	3.5	3.41
NASP 2.8	3.1	3.75	4.0	3.4	3.56
NASP 2.9	2.8	3.5	3.6	3.3	3.3
NASP 2.10	3.2	3.75	4.0	3.8	3.69
NASP 2.11	2.8	3.75	3.6	3.7	3.46
Overall mean	3.16	3.65	3.8	3.7	3.58

^{*} Data is incomplete for 2003-2005

^{**1} intern site evaluation data was missing